UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,553	10/03/2005	Toshihiko Tanaka	067161-0301	3832
	7590 03/17/200 `WILL & EMERY LL	EXAMINER		
600 13TH STR	EET, N.W.	JELSMA, JONATHAN G		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Α	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		1	0/551,553	TANAKA, TOSH	TANAKA, TOSHIHIKO			
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
			onathan Jelsma	1795				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M asions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum star to to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. atutory period will a will, by statute, cau	E OF THIS COMMUN  On the no event, however, may  pply and will expire SIX (6) Mose the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 17 Dece	mber 2008					
•			tion is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition	<i>7</i> —		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 13 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or el	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accept	ed or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 				

Art Unit: 1795

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Summary

1. This is the second office action based on application 10/551,553 and in response to Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed 12/17/2008.

2. Claims 1-17 are previously pending, of those claims, claims 1-12, and 14-17 have been canceled, and claim 13 has been amended. Claim 13 is currently pending and has been fully considered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UNNO (US 5,933,219) in view of SASAKI (US 6,685,848).

Art Unit: 1795

- 6. UNNO teaches a device manufacturing method for forming a semiconductor device (column 1 lines 8-12). UNNO teaches a reticle, or mask, with a pattern on it, that is provided in an exposure apparatus projecting onto a resist coated wafer (column 3 lines 10-18). An illumination system radiates light through the reticle to pattern the wafer (column 3 lines 15-18). An image of the circuit pattern on the reticle is formed then on the wafer using the linearly polarized light, to achieve the exposure of the wafer (column 3 lines 47-51). The wafer after exposure may then be subjected to development process to form a semiconductor device (column 4 lines 1-2). The reticle may include patterns such as 22, and 26 which are larger in a first direction, or the x-direction, than a second direction such as the y-direction (column 4 lines 36-45, see Fig. 2). The light is linearly polarized in the x-direction (column 4 lines 44-45). These patterns may form a hole.
- 7. SASAKI teaches an example of where the photomask used has a hole pattern to be transferred to the wafer (column 15 lines 36-37). This hole pattern may additionally be formed on a half-tone phase shift mask to have a very small dimensional difference between the coarse and dense portion of the hole patterns (column 15 lines 40-42).
- 8. At the time of the invention one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use the semiconductor production method of UNO to have the mask pattern of the hole pattern on a half tone phase shift mask as taught by SASAKI in order to transfer the desired pattern and achieve very small dimensional difference between the coarse and dense portions (SASAKI column 15 lines 40-42).

Art Unit: 1795

# Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments, see page 4 paragraph 2 of Applicant's Arguments/Remarks, filed 12/17/2008, with respect to objection to the disclosure have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the disclosure has been withdrawn. The amendments to the specification has removed the hyperlinks, and overcomes the objection.

- 10. Applicant's arguments, see page 5 paragraph 2 of Applicant's Arguments/Remarks, filed 12/17/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 13 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of UNNO and SASAKI.
- 11. As a preliminary matter, Examiner notes that the original Non-Final rejection was improperly cited to SASAKI (2002/0136967 A1), whereas it should have been cited to SASAKI (US 6,685,848), as noted in Applicant's Arguments/Remarks filed 12/17/2008.
- 12. On page 5 paragraph 2 of Applicant's Arguments/Remarks, Applicant argues that LIN is directed to an OPC technique performed based on the pattern orientation of a X-directional or Y-directional line patterns rather than a hole pattern. Applicant argues that the recited dimensional correction of the present claims is opposite to that disclosed by LIN, and that for a hole pattern, a different dimensional correction than that used for forming a line pattern is employed, and Applicant specifically points to the specification page 20, lines 18-19. This argument is persuasive.

Art Unit: 1795

13. However, new grounds of rejection have been made in view of UNNO and SASAKI. Specifically UNNO teaches a mask pattern 22 which may form a hole, which is wider in the direction of the polarization light than in the direction orthogonal to it (see fig. 2).

### Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Jelsma whose telephone number is (571)270-5127. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 7:00 a.m. 4:00 p.m.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on (571)272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

JGJ